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# Introduction

// Mention relevance of idioms detection in translation and semantic parsing

// Idioms appear over time, so we need ever-growing corpora.

// Write examples of VNCs

Multiword Expressions (MWEs) are combinations of multiple words that exhibit some degree of idiomaticity, but not necessarily [1]. Verb-noun combinations (VNCs) are a common type of MWEs that consist of a verb with a noun in its direct object position [1].

# Literature Review

Much research on MWE identification focuses on the task of the identification of specific kinds on MWEs, such as English VNCs [1] [2] [3], while other authors focus on multilingual detection of MWEs [4].

What distinguishes idiomatic and literal MWEs is the fact that an idiom has a different meaning from the simple composition of the meaning of its component words [3].

## Knowledge on Idioms

Past research focus on VNC analysis since they have been able to extract lexical and semantic consistencies across different idiomatic phrases in the English language. First is the observation that most idiomatic VNCs exhibit **lexico-syntactic fixedness** [1] [3]; i.e. the phrase *see stars* often presents idiomatic meaning when the verb has active voice, the determiner is null, and the noun is in plural form, as in *see stars* or *seeing stars*; while usages with a determiner (*see the stars*), singular noun form (*see a star)*, or passive voice (*stars where seen*) often have literal interpretation [1].

**Lexical-fixedness** of idiomatic phrases means that the substitution of a near synonym for a constituent does not preserve the idiomatic meaning of the expression [3] (i.e. *see stars* and *observe stars*). Even if some idioms allow lexical variations which generate closely related meanings, these are usually highly unpredictable substitutions that can’t be considered as a rule [3].

**Syntactic-fixedness** means that many idiomatic VNCs cannot undergo syntactic variations while retaining their idiomatic interpretation (i.e. the punch let him *seeing stars* / *seeing the star*); however, it is relevant to note that idiomatic VNCs differ with respect to their degree of tolerance to semantic operations (**syntactic flexibility**) [3].

Following on the concept of lexico-syntactic fixedness, a corpus-based study by [5] demonstrates that idiomatic phrases are not as fixed as literature assumed in the past, since “the corpus data in this chapter show that-in contrast to nonidiomatic combinations of words-idioms have strongly preferred canonical form, but at the same time the occurrence of idiom variation is too common to be ignored”. This sounds redundant, as it says that idiomatic VNC identification has to be on the lookout for any form of VNC variation since they can all be idiomatic. However, it also stablishes the **canonical form**, which is the base form of the idiomatic VNC (i.e. *see stars*), as a startup point for their detection. Subsequent research works on the assumption that idiomatic VNCs are more likely to appear on canonical form that non-idiomatic phrases [3].

Phrasal idioms have also been found to involve a certain degree of semantic idiosyncrasy, which means that the idiom is hard to determine without special context or previous exposure even if the meaning of the component words is clear [3]. Also, although it is traditionally believed that idioms are completely non-compositional, linguists and psycholinguists claim that they show some degree of semantic compositionality [3]. This suggests that many idioms have internal semantic structure, without ignoring the fact that they are non-compositional in a traditional sense, which opens the field for the introduction of terms such as **semantic decomposability** and/or **semantic analyzability** [3]. To say that an idiomatic VNC is semantically analysable means that the constituents contribute by their independent meanings to the idiomatic interpretation; so the more semantically analyzable an idiom is, the easier it is to interpret the idiomatic meaning from its constituents [3].

## Supervised Methods

// Talk about supervised methods such as [1]

## Unsupervised Methods

// Talk about unsupervised methods such as [4] [3] [2]
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# Appendix

// Should I include an appendix?